

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,003	10/19/2001	Fatih M. Uckun	12152.107USU1	5692
23552 7	03/26/2003			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			TRAVERS, RUSSELL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	フ
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/037,003

Applicant(s)

Uckun et al

Examiner

R.S. Travers J.D., Ph.D.

Art Unit **1617**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period f	for Reply	
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	
3) 🗆	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8, 10-12, and 14-44</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
	Claim(s)	
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∟	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm		priority diffact of 6.6.6.13.125 diffq.6.12.1
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 1-8 and 10-12, drawn to a method for making a medicament possessing an extended "half-life" "In-vivo" containing various heterocyclic compounds.

II. Claims 14-30, drawn to a method for extending medicament "half-life" "Invivo" by administering various heterocyclic compounds.

III. Claims 31-44, drawn to therapeutic pharmaceutical composition useful for providing an extended medicament "half-life" "In-vivo" containing various heterocyclic compounds.

Claims contained in Groups I-III are directed to patentably unrelated methods off making, therapeutic methods and therapeutic compositions of matter employing a plurality of distinct compound species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

The above delineated inventions differ as methods of making, pharmaceutical compositions and therapeutic methods; and are independent and patentably distinct

Application/Control Number: 10/037,003 Page 3

Art Unit:

each from the other. The grouped inventions patentably distinct, a reference which would anticipate, or make obvious, the inventions of groups I-III would not necessarily obviate or anticipate the inventions in the other group. The searches are not coinclusive as indicated by the diverse nature of the subject matter, thus, would represent an undue burden on Examiner. One skilled in the art would readily practice the invention of one of the above groups with out infringing and or practicing the invention of another group. The subject matter is unique and has acquired a separate status in the art and is fully capable of supporting separate patents. For the foregoing reasons restriction is proper for examination purposes.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of the claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor if at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Russell Travers at telephone number (703) 308-4603.

Russell Travers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1617